UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/550,652	12/07/2005	Satoshi Sugahara	125426 8350		
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 10/16/200 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 3208	50	LEE, EUGENE			
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2815		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/16/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/550,6	652	SUGAHARA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			er	Art Unit				
		EUGENI	E LEE	2815				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>01 January</i> 19	45					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)		/ —		rosecution as to the me	rits is			
- / 🗀	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims		•					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-19,26-30,44 and 45</u> is/are	e pending in the app	olication.					
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>20-25,31-43</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) <u>1-19,26-30,44,45</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
· —	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election	requirement.					
·	on Papers		·					
		o Evaminor						
•	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		N□ objected to by the	Evaminor				
10)[- ' '							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infori	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/17/08,4/8/08,4/25/06,10/2</u>	·	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date				



Application No.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Species I (claims 1-19, 26-30, 44, and 45) in the reply filed on 7/22/08 is acknowledged.

Claims 20 thru 25, and 31 thru 43 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 7/22/08.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because there does not appear to be FIG. 56A, 56B, and 56C as described on page 2 of the applicant's specification. The applicant's figures do contain a FIG. 56 but not these other figures. Further, if these figures do exist, the applicant is reminded that a PRIOR ART label is required since it appears from the applicant's specification that such figures refer to prior art. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after

Application/Control Number: 10/550,652 Page 3

Art Unit: 2815

the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1 thru 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Johnson 5,654,566. Johnson discloses (see, for example, FIG. 4) a spin injected FET (spin transistor) 100 comprising ferromagnetic film 110/S, and ferromagnetic film 116/D. Johnson does not explicitly state that the spin direction of the conduction carriers being changed so as to vary the transfer characteristics of the spin transistor, and an operating point being changed based on the transfer characteristics, thereby reconfiguring a function. However, this is believed to be an inherent property given that Johnson discloses a spin transistor wherein the ferromagnetic film 110/S is the source region and made of a ferromagnetic material as well the ferromagnetic film 116/D being the drain region and made of a ferromagnetic material. Since Johnson discloses the same structure as claimed (see claims 2, and 10), it follows that it would have similar properties. Where the claimed the prior art products are identical or substantially identical in structure or composition, or are produced by

Art Unit: 2815

identical or substantially identical processes, a prima facie case of either anticipation or obviousness has been established. In re Best, 562 F. 2d 1252, 1255, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977).

However, if applicant can show that the claimed feature is not inherent, it would still have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to have the spin direction of the conduction carriers being changed so as to vary the transfer characteristics of the spin transistor, and an operating point being changed based on the transfer characteristics, thereby reconfiguring a function, since it has been held that the provision of adjustability, where needed, involves only routine skill in the art. In re Stevens, 101 USPQ 284 (CCPA 1954).

INFORMATION ON HOW TO CONTACT THE USPTO

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EUGENE LEE whose telephone number is (571)272-1733. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth Parker can be reached on 571-272-2298. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,652 Page 5

Art Unit: 2815

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Eugene Lee October 13, 2008 /Eugene Lee/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2815